

OK to enter 8/22/06

DAF?

Attorney Docket SEL 273

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of	)
	I hereby certify that this correspondence is
Okamoto et al.	being deposited with the United States Postal
	Service as first class mail in an envelope
Serial No.: 09/934,699	addressed to:
	Commissioner for Patents,
Filed: August 22, 2001	P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
1a 1 ab a s a 22, 200 1	) on
For: Portable Electronic Device	August 14, 2006
	(Date of Deposit)
Art Unit: 2871	Name of applican, assignee, or Registered Rep.
	) A control of approach, assigned, or registered resp.
Examiner: T. Duong	) Cathy andres 8-14-2006
C	Signature Date
Commissioner for Patents	
P.O. Box 1450	

## **RESPONSE (H) TO FINAL REJECTION**

Sir:

Applicants have the following response to the Final Rejection mailed May 12, 2006.

Applicants will address each of the Examiner's rejections in the order in which they appear in the Final Rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## Claims 1, 2, 4-11, 21, 22, 34 and 35

In the Final Rejection, the Examiner continues to reject Claims 1, 2, 4-11, 21, 22, 34 and 35 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Priestman et al. (US 6,812,954) in view of Nakai et al. (US 6,072,454) and Yamazaki (US 6,037,635). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

In the Final Rejection, the Examiner contends that <u>Priestman</u> "discloses a portable electronic